

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,773	01/29/2002	Roland Burkle	WE10023	6389
7590 12/03/2003			EXAMINER	
Baker & Daniels			BLACKWELL RUDASIL, GWENDOLYN A	
Suite 800 111 East Wayne Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Wayne, IN 46802			1775	1.0
		DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003 (**)		13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		clo 13			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/030,773	BURKLE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill	1775			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is tess than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Se	eptember 2003.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-25 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,10,11 and 33-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3,5-9,12,13,26-32 and 38-40 is/are of some claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	n from consideration.				
	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2002 is/are		I to by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the second s	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the certified copies not received in Application of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ast sentence of the specification of the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(ast sentence)	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)	A) Intendeur Summer	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)			



Application/Control Number: 10/030,773

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-2, 4, 10-11, and 33-37 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 4, 10-11, 32-34, 40, and 46-48 of copending Application No. 09/869,975. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each claim a glass/plastic composite wherein the composite film comprises a glass film having a thickness of between 10-500 micrometers and a polymer layer applied to a surface of the glass film having a thickness of 1-200 micrometers with an optical retardation of not more than 20 nm, a surface roughness of less than 30 nm and a waviness of less than 100 nm.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.



Application/Control Number: 10/030,773

Art Unit: 1775

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-10, filed September 8, 2003, with respect to claims 1-13 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 103(a) rejection of claims 1-13 has been withdrawn. The prior art of record does not teach or suggest a glass/polymer composite having the particular physical properties as exemplified in the present claims.

Claims 3, 5-9, 12-13, 26-32 and 38-40 are objected to for depending from, either directly or indirectly, rejected claims 1-2, 4, 10-11, and 33-37 which are provisionally rejected under obvious type double patenting as set forth above.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on October 2, 2003 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609(B)(2)(i). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/030,773

Art Unit: 1775

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill whose telephone number is (703) 305-9741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday; 6:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (703) 308-3822. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill Examiner Art Unit 1775

GB (V

SUPER CORY POTENT EXAMINER